

QUARTER/YEAR: Quarter I, January to March 2019

Purpose: This report aims at assessing the achievements against the planned results during the quarter I, January to March 2019 as well as risks and issues that could affect project implementation.

Project title:	Strengthening National a	and Local Disaster Risk Management				
r roject ditie.	1 0	Enhancing Preparedness and Early				
	Warning System in Rwan					
Project number:		g DRM Capacity in Rwanda(MINEMA)"				
UNDAP/CPD	UNDAP Outcome 4 "By 2	023 Rwandan institutions and				
outcome:	communities are more e	quitably, productively, and sustainably				
		ces and address climate change,"				
		come 3: Build resilience to shocks and				
	crisis.					
Project outcome	Increasing resilience to c	limate and natural disaster through				
	evidence-based disaster	preparedness and effective early warning				
	system."					
NST priorities:	NST: Priority 7 "Sustaina	ble Management of Environment and				
	Natural Resources" unde	r the "Economic Transformation" Pillar;				
	DRM as a "Cross-cutting	Area"				
SDGs:	Climate change and its in	npact				
Project start date:	01/10/2019					
Project end date:	30/06/2023					
Reporting period	Quarter I / January to Ma	arch 2019				
Project budget						
(USD): Government of						
	Other donors:					
	Total project budget:	2,965,065				

Contents

1. Executive Summary	3
2. Background and project purpose	4
3. Results-based Reporting	4
4. Overall Challenges, Recommendations and Lessons learnt	8
5. Results Framework Summary-Monitoring of the indicators by quarter	10
6. Financial Summary	15
Annex 1 Quarter II Work Plan April to June 2019	17

<u>Acronyms</u>

DDMC: District Disaster Management Committee

DDMO: District Disaster Management Officer

DRM: Disaster Risk Management

DRR: Disaster Risk Reduction

EDPRS: Economic Development and Poverty Risk Reduction

MDGs: Millennium Development Goals

M&E: Monitoring and Evaluation

MIDIMAR: Ministry of Disaster Management and Refugee Affairs

NPDRM: National Platform for Disaster Risk Management

SEDIMAC: Sector Disaster Management Committee

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme



OUTPUT 1: Institutions at national, district, and community levels have improved technical capacities to reduce risks, manage and respond to natural disasters and limit genderdifferentiated impacts

1.1.Results achieved and evidence

- 1. The training of 114 SEDIMAC member's is a direct capacity development strategy aimed at strengthening institutional and individual capacities for decentralized entities on DRM at grass root levels.
- 2. Technical capacity is continuously reinforced and strengthened through capacity building and financial support since the all of the project staff have been monitored and remunerated on time.

Activity 1.3: Enhance the capacity of DRR/DRM organizations involved in disaster management: MINEMA, METEO Rwanda and local staff including disaster management committees

Key achievements:: Basic DRR training for SEDIMAC members in Karongi and Rutsiro District

A total of 17 Sector Disaster Management Committees (114 members) from Karongi and Rutsiro Districts were trained. The 17 sectors with SEDIMACS trained are namely Twumba, Rwankuba, Mutuntu, Gashali, Murundi, Ruganda, Murambi of Karongi District and Rusebeya, Mukura, Manihira, Boneza, Ruhango, Musasa, Gihango, Mushubati and Muranda Sectors of Rutsiro District.

The SEDIMAC members trained in each sector are:

- 1. Executive Secretary
- 2. Senior Military Commander
- 3. Sector Police Commander
- 4. Head of Health Centre in the Sector
- 5. Representative of Rwanda Red Cross at Sector level
- 6. Representative of Reserve Forces at Sector
- Sector Social Affairs

The training equipped the local authorities with basic knowledge and understanding of the concepts of disaster risk management and disaster risk reduction. It also promoted local authorities' grasp of the importance of mainstreaming DRR in the District Development Plans (DDPs). The training also helped the SEDIMACs to understand their role and responsibilities in Disaster Risk Reduction at District and Sector level respectively. They were trained also on different ways to prevent from major disasters occurring in the region. It likewise increased awareness of the local authorities on the hazards.

Change in plan

We had planned to train SEDIMAC members in the City of Kigali especially in Nyarugenge, Gasabo and Kicukiro Districts however the funds to implement the activity were not accessible to be used in due time. The activity was postponed to next quarter.

Activity 1.5: Support program personnel to manage and coordinate the program (Salary for project staff and communication (Support to SPIU))

Key achievements: Pay project staff salaries

All programme staff were regularly remunerated, provided with communication to manage day to day activities. They were monitoring activities of previous projects and preparing Annual work plan, Quarter Work Plan, implementation plan, follow up of activities to open new account for this current project.

Technical capacity is continuously reinforced and strengthened through capacity building and financial support since the all of the project staff have been monitored and remunerated on time.



The 5 DDMOs have been provided with office materials, airtime and fuel to operationalize project activities on the ground.

Change in plan

The requirement to get and use budget took long time than expected this impacted the implementation of the work Plan. Since we were allowed to use the new bank account from April 2019, the planned activities will also be implemented starting from April 2019. The MINEMA Officials together with Programme staff were committed to speed up the implementation of planned activities to recover the delay and request for funds of next quarter by Early May 2019.

Output 2: Population, local authorities and institutions (which institutions) have increased knowledge and skills of risks from evidence-based disaster risk assessments

Results achieved

Raising public awareness and educating the public about disasters, disaster risks and disaster risk reduction and management are all key elements of capacity development targeting institutional or organizational and individual levels i.e. communities, local leaders, etc.

Activity 2.2: Implementation of National Risk Atlas Recommendations

Achievement: Only the concept note to undertake a workshop for MINEMA staff developed. The workshop is planned to be facilitated by the consultant/Experts who developed the National Risk Atlas.

Change in plan

The activity to implement National Risk Atlas recommendations was revised because the staff requested an advanced workshop to have common understanding on the recommendations raised in the National Atlas. An advanced training on the content and recommendations of National Risk Atlas is planned for next quarter.

Activity 2.4.Conduct DRR awareness raising activities among population through TV/radio programmes and production targeting vulnerable population and including specific gender awareness activities

Key Achievements

Production of shows has been posted on YouTube for sensitization on disaster risk reduction for the use of social media. The public awareness messages on DRM and disaster risks especially on prevention from heavy rains and winds was disseminated during this rain season.

Activity 2.6: Collect, document and cover disaster data, events and evidences through digitalized system for public awareness and communication



Achievements:

The communication team worked on collection of information, Production and dissemination of short videos to sensitize the population on prevention and mitigation of Disaster risks. Production of short messages on stickers for sensitization on disaster Risk reduction. The communication team which performed the activity was remunerated

Output 4: Communities have strengthened capacity to mitigate, adapt and respond to b disaster risks

Results achieved and evidence

Only preparatory activities to select the most affected sectors and discussion on the kind of microprojects to be implemented by Disaster affected population were conducted. The achievements at output level will be reported later.

Activity 4.1. Assist districts prone to disasters in managing disasters where there is no District Disaster Management Officer (DDMO)

Achievements:

The five (5) DDMOs performed theirs duties related to DRR/M in the Districts where each one is deployed (Burera, Huye, Karongi, Nyarugenge and Rwamagana District). The DDMOs have been regularly remunerated.

Activity 4.4: Support the implementation of innovative mitigation and adaptation measures through community-based approach including specific measures implemented by local women's groups'

Achievements:

During the joint implementation workshop in MINEMA Operation room , the SPIU staff together with the Staff of Disaster Recovery and Response Unit selected Nyabihu District as the area where the flood mitigation measures will be implemented.

Change in plan

The activity on Support the implementation of innovative mitigation and adaptation measures through community-based approach including specific measures implemented by local women's groups' is continuing in the next quarter April to June 2019.

Activity 4.5: Support innovative socioeconomic initiatives of population vulnerable to disasters

Key achievements: Selection of two Sectors and some micro-projects able to generate at least the same revenues as prior to disasters.

The DDMO in Karongi District met Sector officials in Rwankuba and Murundi Sectors to discuss on how the disaster affected people will be supported so that they run micro-projects to recover from disaster effects. The sectors visited are the most affected by the landslides and heavy rains occurred in last year 2018 in Karongi District.

District leaders together with affected community proposed some micro-project able to generate at least the same revenues as prior to their displacement which may benefit from cash grant as listed below:

- Agriculture project



- Livestock
- Handcraft
- Carpentry
- Masonry
- Tailoring

They agreed to set up criteria to select beneficiaries in the next meeting with community members at cell level.

Activity 4.6 :Carry out demonstration and create awareness on fire and lightening prevention through installation of model lightning rods and fire extinguishers in public buildings

Change in plan

The activity about fire drill was postponed for April 2019 in Rulindo, Gakenke and Musanze Districts

Activity 4.7 :Support to population affected by disasters; Assist timely all disaster victims with relief assistance

Change in plan

Activity (Support to population affected by disasters; Assist timely all disaster victims with relief assistance) postponed to next quarter April to June 2019

4. Overall Challenges, Recommendations and Lessons learnt

The funds transfer, the opening of new bank account and challenges to access the budget on the account through IFMIS delayed the implementation of planned activities which would be done by quarter one. But many of them are continuing in the next quarter (April to june2019), we are speeding up the process to catch up the time delayed.

Key challenges and risks

- 1.Planned activities postponed and continuing in the next quarter are listed below:
 - Support to the effective operation of NPDM and to the development, update and dissemination of DM policies, strategies and plans (Postponed)
 - Implementation of National Risk Atlas Recommendations (continuing)
 - Support the implementation of innovative mitigation and adaptation measures through community-based approach including specific measures implemented by local women's groups'(continuing)
 - Carry out demonstration and create awareness on fire and lightening

Recommended solutions

The uncompleted activities have been postponed to the month of April 2019. The MINEMA officials in the Units of Disaster Prevention & Mitigation and Response and recovery will work together with Programme staff to complete the postponed activities in April 2019.



prevention through installation of	
model lightning rods and fire	
extinguishers in public	
buildings(continuing)	
 Support to population affected by 	
disasters; Assist timely all disaster	
victims with relief assistance	
(postponed).	
2. Financial report not yet compiled	As there are no payments so far done, the
Z. Fillancial report flot yet complied	financial report will be provided later.

Lessons learned and/or good practices:

The programme staff work together with other MINEMA Officials involved in DRR/M to implement project activities speed up the implementation and reduce the delay in implementation.

LNOB & Gender

LNOB and Gender equality and women's empowerment was considered and empowered in planning documents including project document, annual plan and quarterly plans and we expect to be respected in effective implementation of the plans from April 2019 especially at grass root level with project beneficiaries/partners.



Outcome1: Increasing resilience to climate and natural disaster through evidence- based disaster preparedness and effective early warning system." EXPECTED OUTPUT INDICATORS Baseline Project Year Q1 Q2 target 1	Q2 Q3	2	Final	Source of data
Q2	සු	4	Final	Source of data
Q2	Q	24	Final	Source of data
5				Training reports/ Progress reports
ń I	SEDIMACS	Ś	(Cs	

1.3 % of policies, strategies and plans which contribute to limiting gender-differentiated impacts		1.2 Number of DRM policies, strategies, plans, and operating procedures developed, updated, and published
100%	National disaster risk management plan National response and recovery strategy National preparedness and response plan, for El nino, Volcanic eruption, 12 Contingency plans (animal and plant disease, drought, volcanic eruption, earthquake, industrial disasters, flood, landslide, fire, storm, terrorism attacks, El Niño)	18, namely: National Disaster Management Policy and law
2018		2018
100%		22
0%		0
report, review workshop reports, Progress report, IMIHIGO (Performance contract) reports	ν	NPDM meeting report, review workshop reports,

disaster risk assessments	authorities and institutions (which institutions) have increased knowledge and skills of risks from evidence-based	Output 2 Population, local			
2.2 Percentage of Rwanda's population in selected 10 districts prone to disasters aware of disaster risk	0 not updated and not digitized 1 updated 2 updated and digitized	2.1 Extend to which National hazard risk profiles updated and digitized			1.4 Number of development sectors and districts in which DRR is updated and mainstreamed at national and local level
56 % of Rwanda's population in 10 districts prone to disasters aware of DRM		0 Existing National Risk Atlas (2015)	29 districts with DDMP developed that need to be reviewed and volidated	Agriculture, Environment, Health, ICT, water and sanitation, urbanisation and urbanisation	9 development sectors - Education, Infrastructure,
2016		2015			2018
80%		0	4 districts		2 sectors
56%		0			0
Survey report review Risk: people relocating from other places not aware of DRM		Revised National Risk Atlas, Progress report, Annual report		(Performance contract) reports	workshop and omeeting reports progress reports

2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercises for disaster preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level 4.1 Number of households (gender-disaggregated, female-headed and male-headed) having benefitted from the implementation of disaster mitigation measures 4.2 % of households (gender- disaggregated, female-headed and male-headed) supported through livelihood intervention able to generate at least the same revenues as prior to their displacement							Gakenke district		
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercises by the parameters and response conducted at the national and strict level district level exercises for disaster movement of population) 4.1 Number of households (gender-tale-headed) having benefitted from the benefitted from benefitted from the mitigation measures in mitigation measures in movement of lisaster mitigation measures in male-headed and supported through rVET in generate at least the same rough of the part of the			·				associations supported through		
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercises for disaster pareparedness and response conducted at the national and district level district level district level benefitted from the implementation of disaster mitigation measures in Generate at least the same proported through livelihood intervention able to livelihood intervent		A-1.10					households from 37	displacement	
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations. 3.1 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level district level district level penelited from the mitigation measures in male-headed supported through the livelihood intervention able to through TVET in the penelited from the the finale-headed and male-headed and male-headed of supported through the livelihood intervention able to through TVET in the penelited from the measures in Gakenke and Nagorozero Districts.							Ngororero district	generate at least the same	
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations. 3.1 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and genoreric plants assessment of massivers and septical sections of disaster mitigation measures in Gakenke and supported and male-headed and male-headed and male-headed mal	Surveys						through TVET in	livelihood intervention able to	
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level district level (mass (gender-disaggregated, female-headed) having benefitted from the implementation of disaster mitigation measures and Mgororero Districts 4.2 % of households (gender100% for the 220 2018 100	Annual reports			**************************************			households trained	disaggregated, female-headed and	
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level district level district level (gender-disaggregated, female-headed) having benefitted from the implementation of disaster mitigation measures and Ngororero olistricts.	Progress reports,	-	<		100	2018	- 100% for the 220	4.2 % of households (gender-	disaster risks
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise exercises for disaster minimal district level 4.1 Number of households (gender-disaggregated, female-benefitted from the implementation of disaster measures in Gakenke 2017 2018 2 4 4 4 4 5 4 1 5 Inimilation exercise conducted (mass movement of population) 1 Simulation exercise conducted (mass movement of population) 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 3.1 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.1 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.2 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.3 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.4 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.5 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.6 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.7 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.8 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.9 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.1 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.2 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.3 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.4 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.5 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.6 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.7 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.8 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.9 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.1 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.2 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.3 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.4 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.5 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.6 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.7 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.8 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.9 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.1 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.2 Number of households (8,000 population) 4.3 Number of households (8,000 population)							Districts		mitigate, adapt
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise exercises for disaster mitigation exercise conducted at the national and district level 4.1 Number of households (gender-disaggregated) having benefitted from the implementation of disaster disaster disagter and male-headed) having benefitted from disaster disaster mitigation 2018 2 2 4 4		aH-W) = 40					measures in Gakenke	mitigation measures	capacity to
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise exercises for disaster preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level district level district level (mass movement of population) 4.1 Number of households (8,000 (gender-disaggregated, female-headed) having people) have households (8,000							disaster mitigation	implementation of disaster	strengthened
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level district level (mass movement of population) 4.1 Number of households (8,000) 4.1 Number of households (8,000) (gender-disaggregated female (gender-disaggregated female) households (8,000) About 2,000 2018 2018 2018 2017 0 About 2,000 2018 150							benefitted from	henefitted from the	Communities have
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise conducted in the national and district level 4.1 Number of households About 2,000 2018 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Annual reports						households (8,000	(gender-disaggregated, female-	
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise conducted for disaster conducted (mass movement of conducted at the national and district level of district level exercise in district level exercise or disaster population) 3.2 Number of simulation exercise conducted (mass movement of population) 2018 2 4 4	Progress reports,				150	2018	About 2,000	4.1 Number of households	Output 4
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercises for disaster conducted at the national and district level 3.2 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level									recovery
2.3 # of targeted development sector and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level 2018 2 4 4 9 4 10 District 1 Simulation exercise (mass movement of population) 2017 2018 2 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 2		,						and the second s	response and
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercises for disaster preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level 3.3 Number of simulation population) 3.4 Number of simulation exercise conducted (mass movement of population) 3.5 Number of simulation exercise preparedness and response population)									preparedness,
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations risk assessment recommendations 3.1 Number of simulation exercises for disaster exercises for disaster preparedness and response conducted at the national and district level 3.2 Number of simulation exercise conducted (mass movement of population) 2.3 # of targeted development 2.3 # Of targeted development 4.4									effective
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations of the same preparedness and response conducted at the national and population) 2018 2 4 4 2018 2 2 4								district level	systems to enable
2.3 # of targeted development sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations risk assessment of simulation exercises for disaster preparedness and response movement of 2018 2 3.1 Number of simulation exercise exercises for disaster movement of 2017 0	IMIHIGO report						population)	conducted at the national and	hazard early warning
2.3 # of targeted development sector 2018 2 sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50 % of the risk assessment recommendations on District 4 3.1 Number of simulation exercise exercises for disaster conducted (mass 2017 0	progress reports/						movement of	preparedness and response	Enhanced multi
2.3 # of targeted development sector 2018 2 sectors and districts which have implemented at least 50% of the risk assessment recommendations 0 District 4 3.1 Number of simulation 1 Simulation exercise 2017 0	exercise report/						conducted (mass	exercises for disaster	1
0 sector 2018 2 4 4	Simulation				0	2017	1 Simulation exercise	3.1 Number of simulation	Output 3
0 sector 2018 2 0 District 4	/ Progress report				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
0 sector 2018 2 s 0 District 4	Workshop reports								
0 sector 2018 2 0 District 4	reports/	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 							
0 sector 2018 2	Evaluation						Constant	risk assessment recommendations	
0 sector 2018 2	Monitoring and				4		O District	implemented at least 50 % of the	
0 sector 2018 2	recommendation							sectors and districts which have	man manifestra
	Atlas	- A pro-pro-or			2			2.3 # of targeted development	Provide a

		and the state of the state of
	int the	4.3
	population affected by the level 3 and 4 benefi response and recovery interventions	} Perc
	ion af	enta
	fecte d 4 b l reco	<i>ge</i> of
	enefi	vulne
v4.13	population affected by disasters at the level 3 and 4 benefitting from response and recovery interventions	4.3 Percentage of vulnerable
	from	
	!	
	population affected by landslide in Gakenke district supported through response and recovery	90 % of vulnerable
	ation idslid ike di irted inse a ery	of vul
	affec e in istrict throu nd	nerat
	ugh	ë
		2017
		117
		90%
		%
	Ann Risk disc req extr	Pro
	Annual report Annual report Risk: extreme disasters requiring extraordinary response and recovery	ress
	reme inary and	repo
		-d-
	LANGE	
	ETON CASEA	- /

6. Financial Summary

6.1 Expenditures per output

This section is not completed due to reasons indicated below this following table.

		Quarterly fi	nancial rep	port		Annua	l financial sum	nary
	Q1 Budget allocation	Q1 Expenditu re (USD)	Balance for Q1 (US\$)	Delivery rate for Q1 (%)	Notes (Explain reason if there are	Annual Budget allocation	Annual expenditure by end of	Delivery rate at end of
the department of the contract	(USD)			Q2 (70)	differences	(USD)	Q1 (USD)	Q1 (%)
e en					between budget and expenditure)			
Output 1								
Output 2								
Output 3								
Output 4								
TOTAL								

For the financial data, there are no payments done so far due to different challenges

 After signature of new project in December 2018, we requested the budget for quarter one under the name of new project. However at the time of disbursement in February 2019 (at the end of transfer process), UNDP finance department noticed that there should be specific new account dedicated to new project to be created which required also going through different process between MINEMA, NINECOFIN and BNR.

Action taken: The new account was created on 13 March 2019 entitled MINEMA DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT number 1000050225

2. After creation of the new account, UNDP disbursed to the new account the fund of quarter one on 25/03/2019

However, to use that budget MINECOFIN informed that it will not be possible in that period as the budget revision period was closed in **January 2019** without integrating in IFIMIS the activities of new project for fiscal year ending by July 2019 so that it would be budgeted in national budget. The other possibility was to wait for the next financial year (July 2019 to June 2020) as the local mode is no longer acceptable.

Fortunately, from the past routine (experience of October to December 2019), during the budget review, the new project activities were integrated in IFIMIS under the name of old project "capacity building"

Action taken: after technical consultation with MINECOFIN, it was decided to associate the new account with the old project name containing the activities of new project as they were already in the IFMIS as the only possible alternative to be able to spend the budget on our account. This was done and communicated on 08 April 2019. So during this period of time, activities were centred on administrative and planning activities and provision of support in implementation of activities financed by ordinary budget.

6.2. Expenses to be refunded once project bank account is operational

The Ministry In charge of Emergency Management facilitated the payment of project expenses using its own polling account while the opening of new bank account and the transfer of project funds were not yet done. The expenses done which will be reimbursed with the project funds in the next quarter April to June 2019 are amounted to Forty Five million Eight hundred Seventy Nine Thousand eight hundred and five Rwandan Francs (45,879,805 Rwf) as detailed the table below.

Description	Amount
Salary payment for January 2019	14,836,703
Salary payment for February 2019	14,161,551
Salary payment for March 2019	14,161,551
Airtime purchase for the months of January and February 2019	1,360,000
Airtime purchase for the March and April 2019	1,360,000
Total	45,879,805

Date: 15/04/2019

Submitted by:

INGABIRE Veneranda

SPIU/Coordinator

KAYUMBA Ölivier

Permanent Secretary

Stephen Rodriques

UNDP Resident Representative